

## 1 | Predicate Logic Symbolization

- **innovation of predicate logic:** analysis of simple statements into two parts: the subject and the predicate.
- **E.g. 1:**  
 'John is a giant.'  
 subject = 'John'  
 predicate = '...is a giant'  
 Predicate logic symbolization:  
 j = 'John', G = 'is a giant'  
 Gj
- In predicate logic, the subject identified is called an **individual constant** predicate is called the **symbolic predicate**
- Note: Predicate logic also inherits all the logical connectives from propositional logic ( $\sim, \bullet, \vee, \supset, \equiv$ ).

English Statement	Propositional Logic	Predicate Logic
Bermuda is a country.	B	Cb
Bermuda is an island and a country.	I • C	Ib • Cb
If Bermuda is a country then Jamaica is a country.	B ⊃ J	Cb ⊃ Cj
JFK is on high alert just in case O'Hare is.	J ≡ O	Hj ≡ Oj

### Quantification

- The breaking down of simple statements into two parts allows for a novel treatment of English statements that include 'all', 'everything', 'no', 'none', 'some'
- New symbols:  
 $\forall$ , the **universal quantifier**, read 'for all'  
 $\exists$ , the **existential quantifier**, read 'some' or 'at least one'

English Statement	Re-wording	PL Symbolization
Tigers are animals.	All tigers are animals	$(x)(Tx \supset Ax)$
No tigers are canine.	All tigers are not canine	$(x)(Tx \supset \sim Cx)$
Everything is round.	For all x, x is round.	$(x)(Rx)$

English Statement	Re-wording	PL Symbolization
Some tigers are albino.	At least one tiger is albino.	$(\exists x)(Tx \bullet Ax)$
Some tigers are not in captivity	At least one tiger is not in captivity	$(\exists x)(Tx \bullet \sim Cx)$

## 2 | Predicate Logic - Rules of Inference

### Some Terminology

- **quantifiers:**  $(x) \dots, (\exists x) \dots$
- **constants:** typically labeled  $a, b, \dots, t$
- **variables:** typically labeled:  $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, y_1, \dots$ 
  - *bound variables* - variables in statements bound by quantifiers
  - *free variables* - variables in statement functions not bound by quantifiers
- **statement function** - the expression that remains when a quantifier is removed from a statement

- **E.g. 1:**  $(x)(Sx \supset Tx)$   
statement (universal generalization)  
x is a bound variable  
no free variable
- **E.g. 2:**  $(\exists y)(Sy \bullet Ty)$   
statement (existential generalization)  
y is a bound variable  
no free variables
- **E.g. 3:**  $Fy \supset Gy$   
statement function  
y is a free variable  
no bound variables

Rule of Inference	Rule Form	Conditions on Proper Use
<b>Universal Instantiation</b> (UI):	$(x)Fx // Fa$	None
	$(x)Fx // Fy$	None

• **E.g. 1:** Universal Instantiation

1. Everything is physical.
2. So, Mars is physical.

$Px$  —  $x$  is physical  
 $m$  — Mars

1	$(x)Px$	
2	$Pm$	1, UI

• **E.g. 2:** Universal Instantiation

1. All economists are social scientists.
2. Paul Krugman is an economist.
3. Therefore, Paul Kraugman is a social scientist.

$Ex$  —  $x$  is an economist  
 $Sx$  —  $x$  is a social scientist  
 $p$  — Paul Krugman

1	$(x)(Ex \supset Sx)$	Premise
2	$Ep$	Premise
3	$Ep \supset Sp$	1 UI
4	$Sp$	2, 3 MP

• **E.g. 3:** Universal Instantiation

1	$(x)(Hx \supset Gx)$	
2	$Hy \supset Gy$	UI

Rule of Inference	Rule Form	Conditions on Proper Use
Existential Generalization (EG):	$Fa // (\exists x)Fx$	None
	$Fy // (\exists x)Fx$	None

- E.g. 1: Existential Generalization

1	$Lp$	
2	$(\exists x)(Lx)$	1 EG

- E.g. 2: Existential Generalization

1	$Lj \bullet Hg$	
2	$(\exists x)(Lj \bullet Hx)$	1 EG
3	$Lj$	1 Simp
4	$(\exists x)(Lx)$	3, EG

Rule of Inference	Rule Form	Conditions on Proper Use
<b>Universal Instantiation (UI):</b>	$(x)Fx // Fa$	None
	$(x)Fx // Fy$	None
<b>Universal Generalization (UG):</b>	$Fy // (x)Fx$	i) not allowed: $Fa // (x)Fx$ ; ii) cannot use UG on a free variable in the first line of sub-derivation
<b>Existential Generalization (EG):</b>	$Fa // (\exists x)Fx$	None
	$Fy // (\exists x)Fx$	None
<b>Existential Instantiation (EI):</b>	$(\exists x)Fx // Fa$	i) the existential name $\alpha$ must be a new name that does not appear in any previous line (including the conclusion line) ii) not allowed: $(\exists x)Fx // Fy$

• **E.g. 1: Universal Generalization**

Note: we cannot infer a universal statement from a particular:  $Fa // (x)Fx$  is incorrect use of rule.

1	$Ly$	
2	$(x)(Lx)$	1 UG

• **E.g. 2: Universal Generalization**

1	$Fy \supset Gy$	Premise
2	$Fy \supset Gx$	Premise
3	$(y)(Fy \supset Gy)$	1 UG
4	$(z)(Fz \supset Gx)$	2 UG

• **E.g. 3:**  $(x)(Hx \supset Ix), (x)(Ix \supset Hx) // (x)(Hx \equiv Ix)$

• **E.g. 4: Universal Instantiation (w/ statement functions)**

1	$(x)(Px \supset Dx)$	Premise
2	$(x)(Dx \supset Cx)$	Premise
3	$Py \supset Dy$	1 UI
4	$Dy \supset Cy$	2, UI
5	$Py \supset Cy$	3, 4 HS
6	$(x)(Px \supset Cx)$	5, UG

Rule of Inference	Rule Form	Conditions on Proper Use
<b>Universal Instantiation (UI):</b>	$(x)Fx // Fa$	None
	$(x)Fx // Fy$	None
<b>Universal Generalization (UG):</b>	$Fy // (x)Fx$	i) not allowed: $Fa // (x)Fx$
<b>Existential Generalization (EG):</b>	$Fa // (\exists x)Fx$	None
	$Fy // (\exists x)Fx$	None

• **E.g. 4: Existential Generalization**

1	$(x)[(Ax \vee Bx) \supset Cx]$	Premise
2	$(\exists x)Ax$	Premise
3	$Am$	2, EI
4	$(Am \vee Bm) \supset Cm$	1 UI
5	$Am \vee Bm$	3, Add
6	$Cm$	4, 5 MP
7	$(\exists x)Cx$	6, EG

• **E.g. 5: Existential Generalization**

1	$(\exists x)Kx \supset (x)(Lx \supset Mx)$	Premise
2	$Kc \bullet Lc$	Premise
3	$Kc$	2, Simp
4	$(\exists x)Kx$	3, EG
5	$(x)(Lx \supset Mx)$	1, 4 MP
6	$Lc \supset Mc$	5, UI
7	$Lc \bullet Kc$	2, Comm
8	$Lc$	7, Simp
9	$Mc$	6, 8 MP

• **E.g. 2:**  $(x)(Px \supset Qx) \supset (\exists x)(Rx \bullet Sx) // (\exists x)Sx$

• **Ex 8.2.6:**  $(x)[Jx \supset (Kx \bullet Lx)], (\exists y)(\sim Ky) // (\exists z) \sim Jz$

3 | Change of Quantifier Rule

$$\begin{aligned} (x)Fx &:: \sim (\exists x) \sim Fx \\ \sim (x)Fx &:: (\exists x) \sim Fx \\ (\exists x)Fx &:: \sim (x) \sim Fx \\ \sim (\exists x)Fx &:: (x) \sim Fx \end{aligned}$$

- **E.g. 1:**  $(\exists x)(Hx \bullet Gx) \supset (x)Ix, \sim Im // (x)(Hx \supset \sim Gx)$

1		$(\exists x)(Hx \bullet Gx) \supset (x)Ix$	Premise
2		$\sim Im$	Premise
3		$(\exists x) \sim Ix$	2 EG
4		$\sim (x)Ix$	3 CQ
5		$\sim (\exists x)(Hx \bullet Gx)$	1, 4 MT
6		$(x) \sim (Hx \bullet Gx)$	5 CQ
7		$(x)(\sim Hx \vee \sim Gx)$	6, DM
8		$(x)(Hx \supset \sim Gx)$	7 Impl

• Ex. 8.3.I.11:  $\sim (\exists x)(Ax \bullet \sim Bx), \sim (\exists x)(Ax \bullet \sim Cx) // (x)[Ax \supset (Bx \bullet Cx)]$

1	$\sim (\exists x)(Ax \bullet \sim Bx)$	Premise			
2	$\sim (\exists x)(Ax \bullet \sim Cx)$	Premise			
3	$(x) \sim (Ax \bullet \sim Bx)$	1 CQ			
4	$(x) \sim (Ax \bullet \sim Cx)$	2 CQ			
5	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: right; vertical-align: top;">5</td> <td style="width: 20%; border-left: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px;"><math>Ay</math></td> <td style="padding-left: 10px;">ACP</td> </tr> </table>	5	$Ay$	ACP	ACP
5	$Ay$	ACP			
6	$\sim (Ay \bullet \sim By)$	3, UI			
7	$\sim (Ay \bullet \sim Cy)$	4, UI			
8	...				
9	$By \bullet Cy$	Conj			
10	$Ay \supset (By \bullet Cy)$	5-9 Cp			
11	$(x)[Ax \supset (Bx \bullet Cx)]$	10 UG			

• Ex. 8.3.I.6:

1	$(\exists x) \sim Ax \supset (x)(Bx \supset Cx)$	Premise
2	$\sim (x)(Ax \vee Cx)$	Premise
3	$(\exists x) \sim (Ax \vee Cx)$	2 CQ
4	$\sim (Ai \vee Ci)$	3 EI
5	$\sim Ai \bullet \sim Ci$	4 Dem
6	$\sim Ai$	5, Simp
7	$(\exists x) \sim Ax$	6 EG
8	$(x)(Bx \supset Cx)$	1, 7 MP
9	$Bi \supset Ci$	8 UI
10	$\sim Ci \bullet \sim Ai$	5 Comm
11	$\sim Ci$	10 Simp
12	$\sim Bi$	9, 11 MT
13	$(\exists x) \sim Bx$	12 EG
14	$\sim (x)Bx$	13 CQ