

1 | **Rules of Implication - 7.1, 7.2**

- In chapter 6, we used truth tables to determine validity or invalidity of arguments
- In chapter 7, we study proofs in propositional logic, which are also used to determine **logical validity, logical inconsistency, logical truth, logical falsehood**

Dfn 1.1. a rule of inference/implication consists of an argument form whose premises imply the conclusion

• **Modus Ponens (MP)**

1	$p \supset q$
2	p
3	q

• **Modus Tollens (MT)**

1	$p \supset q$
2	$\sim q$
3	$\sim p$

• **Pure Hypothetical Syllogism (HS)**

1	$p \supset q$
2	$q \supset r$
3	$p \supset r$

• **Disjunctive Syllogism (DS)**

1	$p \vee q$
2	$\sim p$
3	q

• E.g. 1:

1	N	
2	$N \supset K$	
3	$N \vee F$	
4	K	1, 2 MP

**Note that you do not need to use all the given premises in a proof.

• E.g. 2:

1	$\sim (E \vee F)$	
2	$(E \vee F) \vee (N \supset K)$	
3	$(N \supset K)$	

• E.g. 3:

1	$\sim\sim (E \vee F)$	
2	$\sim (E \vee F) \vee (N \supset K)$	
3	$(N \supset K)$	1, 2 DS

• E.g. 4:

1	$(E \vee F)$	
2	$\sim (E \vee F) \vee (N \supset K)$	
3	$(N \supset K)$	1, 2 DS ***Incorrect***

Note: DS rule works only on a compound statement that is a disjunction and a negation; in this case there is no negation.

• E.g.5:

1	$J \supset (K \supset L)$
2	$L \vee J$
3	$\sim L$
4	...
5	$\sim K$

• E.g. 6:

1	$\sim G \supset (G \vee \sim A)$
2	$\sim A \supset (C \supset A)$
3	$\sim G$
4	...
5	$\sim C$

• E.g. 7:

1	$H \supset [\sim E \supset (C \supset \sim D)]$
2	$\sim D \supset E$
3	$E \vee H$
4	$\sim E$
5	...
6	$\sim C$

• E.g. 8:

1	$(R \supset F) \supset [(R \supset \sim G) \supset (S \supset Q)]$
2	$(Q \supset F) \supset (R \supset Q)$
3	$\sim G \supset F$
4	$Q \supset \sim G$
5	...
6	$S \supset F$

- **Modus Ponens (MP):** $p \supset q, p // q$
- **Modus Tolens (MT):** $p \supset q, \sim q // \sim p$
- **Hypothetical Syllogism (HS):** $p \supset q, q \supset r // p \supset r$
- **Disjunctive Syllogism (DS):** $p \vee q, \sim p // q$

- **Constructive Dilemma (CD)**

1	$(p \supset q) \bullet (r \supset s)$
2	$p \vee r$
3	<hr style="width: 100%;"/> $q \vee s$

- **Simplification (Simp)**

1	$p \bullet q$
2	<hr style="width: 100%;"/> p

- **Conjunction (Conj)**

1	p
2	q
3	<hr style="width: 100%;"/> $p \bullet q$

- **Addition (Add)**

1	p
2	<hr style="width: 100%;"/> $p \vee q$

- **E.g. 1:** $(P \supset R) \supset (M \supset P), (P \vee M) \supset (P \supset R), P \vee M, \text{ so } R \vee P$
- **E.g. 2:** $(\sim H \supset (\sim T \supset R)), H \vee (E \supset F), \sim T \vee E, \sim H \bullet D, \text{ so } R \vee F$
- **E.g. 3:** $(R \supset H) \bullet (S \supset I), (\sim H \bullet \sim L) \supset (R \vee S), \sim H \bullet (K \supset T), H \vee \sim L, \text{ so, } I \vee M$
- **E.g. 4:** $(S \supset Q) \bullet (Q \supset \sim S), S \vee Q, \sim Q, \text{ so, } P \bullet R$

2 | Rules of Replacement - 7.3, 7.4

- **De Morgan's Rule (DM)**

$$\sim (p \bullet q) :: (\sim p \vee \sim q)$$

$$\sim (p \vee q) :: (\sim p \bullet \sim q)$$

- **Commutativity (Com)**

$$(p \vee q) :: (q \vee p)$$

$$(p \bullet q) :: (q \bullet p)$$

- **Associativity (Assoc)**

$$[p \vee (q \vee r)] :: [(p \vee q) \vee r]$$

$$[p \bullet (q \bullet r)] :: [(p \bullet q) \bullet r]$$

- **Distribution (Dist)**

$$[p \bullet (q \vee r)] :: [(p \bullet q) \vee (p \bullet r)]$$

$$[p \vee (q \bullet r)] :: [(p \vee q) \bullet (p \vee r)]$$

- **Double Negation (DN)**

$$p :: \sim \sim p$$

- **Ex. 7.3.III.14:** $\sim (J \vee K), B \supset K, S \supset B // \sim S \bullet \sim J$

- **Ex. 7.3.III.15:** $(G \bullet H) \vee (M \bullet G), G \supset (T \bullet A), // A$

- **Ex. 7.3.III.26:** $A \bullet (F \bullet L), A \supset (U \vee W), F \supset (U \vee X // U \vee (W \bullet X)$

3 | **More Rules of Replacement (Ch. 7.4)**

- **Transportation (Trans):**
 $(p \supset q) :: (\sim q \supset \sim p)$
- **Material Implication (Impl):**
 $(p \supset q) :: (\sim p \vee q)$
- **Material Equivalence (Equiv):**
 $(p \equiv q) :: (p \supset q) \bullet (q \supset p)$
 $(p \equiv q) :: (p \bullet q) \vee (\sim p \bullet \sim q)$
- **Exportation (Exp)**
 $(p \bullet q) \supset r :: (p \supset (q \supset r))$
- **Tautology (Taut)**
 $p :: (p \vee p)$
 $p :: (p \bullet p)$

4 | **Conditional Proof (Ch. 7.5)**

The schema for the conditional proof (ACP) is as follows.

1	...	
2	...	
3	...	
4	P	ACP
5	...	
6	Q	
7	P \supset Q	4-7, CP
8	...	

5 | All Rules of Propositional Logic

$p \supset q, p // q$	Modus Ponens (MP)
$p \supset q, \sim q // \sim p$	Modus Tolens (MT)
$p \supset q, q \supset r // p \supset r$	Hypothetical Syllogism (HS)
$p \vee q, \sim p // q$	Disjunctive Syllogism (DS)
$(p \supset q) \bullet (r \supset s), p \vee r, // q \vee s$	Constructive Dilemma (CD)
$p \bullet q // p$	Simplification (Simp)
$p, q // p \bullet q$	Conjunction (Conj)
$p // p \vee q$	Addition
$\sim (p \bullet q) :: (\sim p \vee \sim q)$	De Morgan's Rule (DM)
$\sim (p \vee q) :: (\sim p \bullet \sim q)$	
$(p \vee q) :: (q \vee p)$	Commutativity (Com)
$(p \bullet q) :: (q \bullet p)$	
$[p \vee (q \vee r)] :: [(p \vee q) \vee r]$	Associativity (Assoc)
$[p \bullet (q \bullet r)] :: [(p \bullet q) \bullet r]$	
$[p \bullet (q \vee r)] :: [(p \bullet q) \vee (p \bullet r)]$	Distribution (Dist)
$[p \vee (q \bullet r)] :: [(p \vee q) \bullet (p \vee r)]$	
$p :: \sim \sim p$	Double Negation (DN)
$(p \supset q) :: (\sim q \supset \sim p)$	Transportation (Trans)
$(p \supset q) :: (\sim p \vee q)$	Material Implication (Impl)
$(p \equiv q) :: (p \supset q) \bullet (q \supset p)$	Material Equivalence (Equiv)
$(p \equiv q) :: (p \bullet q) \vee (\sim p \bullet \sim q)$	
$((p \bullet q) \supset r) :: (p \supset (q \supset r))$	Exportation (Exp)
$p :: (p \vee p)$	Tautology (Taut)
$p :: (p \bullet p)$	
...(see above)	Conditional Proof (ACP)

6 | Indirect Proof - 7.6

1	...				
2	<table style="border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="border-right: 1px solid black; padding-right: 5px;"> </td> <td style="padding-left: 5px;">p</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-right: 1px solid black; padding-right: 5px;"> </td> <td style="border-top: 1px solid black; padding-left: 5px;">...</td> </tr> </table>		p		...
	p				
	...				
3	...				
4	$q \bullet \sim q$				
5	$\sim p$				

- **E.g. 1:** $E \supset [(F \vee G) \supset (H \bullet J)], E \bullet \sim (J \vee K) // \sim (F \vee K)$
- **E.g. 2:** $L \supset [\sim M \supset (N \bullet O)], \sim N \bullet P // L \supset (M \bullet P)$
- **Ex. 7.6.I.18:** $K \supset [(M \vee N) \supset (P \bullet Q)], L \supset [(Q \vee R) \supset (S \bullet \sim N)] // (K \bullet L) \supset \sim N$

7 | Proving Logical Properties of Statements — (7.7)

We can show that

- A statement p is **Logically true** just in case p can be derived from no premises.
- **Eg. 1:** $[P \supset (Q \supset R)] \supset [(P \supset Q) \supset (P \supset R)]$
- **Ex. 7.7.14:** $[(P \supset Q) \supset R] \supset [(R \supset \sim R) \supset P]$
- **E.g. 2:** $P \supset (Q \supset P)$